UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,111	04/11/2007	Rolf-Dieter Zehbe	P-9646-US	8807
49443 7590 06/15/2010 Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer, LLP 1500 Broadway			EXAMINER	
			LILLING, HERBERT J	
	12th Floor New York, NY 10036			PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Applicant(s)					
10/578,111 ZEHBE ET AL.						
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit						
HERBERT J. LILLING 1657						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 April 2010</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit	s is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.						
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04-28-2006</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/578,111 Page 2

Art Unit: 1657

1. Receipt is acknowledged of amendments to drawings and claims and a prior art information disclosure statement filed April 28, 2006 for this application filed 04/11/2007, which application is a 371 of PCT/EP04/12564 International filing date 11/05/2004, which claims priority to foreign Application GERMANY 103 51 661.1 filed on 11/05/2003.

- 2. Claims 1-31 are pending in this application.
- 3. It is noted that the specification lacked a heading on page 9, line 7, and please add the following: **Brief Description of the Drawings** which title is missing in view of 37 CFR 1.74.
  - 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention in view of a broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to

Application/Control Number: 10/578,111

Art Unit: 1657

whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

Page 3

- A) In the present instance, claim 1 [ and all claims dependent upon claim 1 which includes 2-25] recites the broad recitation "inducing a structuring operation", and the claim also recites "preferably a pore formation operation" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.
- B) In addition, Claim 6 contains the following language which contains "preferably over a period of 0.5 minutes to 120 minutes" that is not acceptable.
- C) In addition, Claim 8, contains the following language which contains in lines 3 and 7 for the wording **"in particular** ...." that is also not acceptable.
- D) The wording in Claim 13 which includes on line 2 the wording "in particular" and on line 3 the word "especially" in view of the broad language "comprising precious metals" and the narrower recitations as indicated.
- E) Claim 19 contains the word "preferably" as indicated is the narrower recitation.
- F) Claim 22 contain in line 2, the word "preferably" which is in lines 2 and 3 both of which are unacceptable.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,111 Page 4

Art Unit: 1657

G) Claim 24 contains the term "preferably" which as indicated is not acceptable.

- H) Claim 26, line 3, contain the expression "being preferably"; line 4 the expression "in particular" and in line 5, the expression "for example" which renders the claims vague and indefinite.
- I) Claim 28, line 2 contains the word "preferably" which renders the claims vague and indefinite as noted above.
  - 5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

## 6. **No claim is allowed.**

- 7. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HERBERT J. LILLING whose telephone number is 571-272-0918. The examiner can normally be reached on WORK AT HOME MAXIFLEX.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,111 Page 5

Art Unit: 1657

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (571) 272-0918 Art Unit <u>1657</u> June 12, 2010

> /HERBERT J LILLING/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1657